TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application No. 09/540,524

Filed: March 31, 2000

Art Unit: 2862

Confirmation No.: 1816

Examiner: T. Fetzner

Inventors: J. Tamez-Peña et al

Docket No.: 000687,00138

Title: Magnetic Resonance Imaging with Resolution and Contrast Enhancement

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Hon. Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 12, 2001, the Applicants, through undersigned counsel, hereby elect invention I, claims 1, 2, 4-13, 28, 29 and 31-40, for further prosecution. This election is made with traverse.

The Office Action alleges that invention II has separate utility because of the specific equipment required by MRI. The Applicants respectfully disagree. The mere fact that invention I may use equipment other than that called for in invention II does not show that invention II has separate utility, as opposed to a particular embodiment of the utility of invention I. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully submit that the Office Action does not set forth a prima facie case that the subcombination has separate utility.

Also, it must be borne in mind that the relationship between combination and subcombination is different from the relation between genus and species. The Office Action seems to argue that inventions I and II have the former relationship simply because they have the

D004

latter relationship. However, the two relationships are not the same and are not governed by the same principles.

Moreover, MPEP §803 provides as follows:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

In the present application, the searches for inventions I and II would involve a substantial overlap. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully submit that any burden in the search and examination of the entire application, as opposed to invention I or II, would be de minimis. In particular, that burden is to be compared with the financial burden on the Applicants involved in filing and prosecuting a divisional application.

For the reasons set forth above, the Applicants respectfully submit that the present restriction requirement is improper and respectfully request that it be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The present traversal should not be construed as an admission that the two inventions are not patentably distinct. In the event that the restriction requirement is maintained, the Applicants reserve the full protection of 35 U.S.C. §121 against double-patenting rejections.

If any issues remain that can be overcome most easily through a telephone communication, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Please charge any shortage or credit any overpayment of fees to BLANK ROME COMISKY & MCCAULEY LLP, Deposit Account No. 23-2185 (000687.00138). In the event that a petition for an extension of time is required to be submitted herewith and in the event that a separate petition does not accompany this Response or is insufficient to render this Response

ТЕСНИОГОСУ СЕНТЕЯ 2800

HAR 14 2002

KECEINED

timely, the Applicants hereby petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) for an extension of time for as many months as are required to render this submission timely. Any fee due is authorized above.

Respectfully submitted,

José TAMEZ-PEÑA et al

David J. Edmondson

Reg. No. 35,126

Blank Rome Comisky & McCauley LLP
The Farragut Building, Suite 1000
900-17th St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
202-530-7400 (receptionist)
202-530-7438 (direct dial to attorney signing paper)
202-463-6915 (facsimile)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 280

BLANK ROME COMISKY & MCCAULEY LLP

TELECOPY MESSAGE TRANSMITTAL FORM

Return Address: The Farragut Building Suite 1000 900 17th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Date: March 13, 2002

			_		
——————————————————————————————————————			EXT	ENSION:	ATTORNEY NO.: 3022
			(202)	530-7438	
			NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDE COVER)		
			5		
	TO:	FIRM:		FAX NO.:	CONFIRMATION NO.:
1.	Exr. Tiffany Fetzner	USPTO A	Art Unit 2862	703 308 5841	703 305 0430
	If you exp	perience problem	s with a transmission, ple	asc call (202) 530-74	38
	ORIGINAL: will follo	will will	not follow x (please	check one)	
i					
	VER MESSAGE: Examiner use only in 09/54	0,524. Thank	you.		RECEIVED MAR 14 2002 CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
CO) The c Com- recip prohi		ansmission contain inf is intended only for the osure, copying, distrib returned to this firm in range for the return of	formation which may be confidenthe use of the individual or entity ution or the taking of any action in minediately. If you have received the original documents to us at no	on named on this transmission reliance on the contents of this in error, please notiful cost to you. The unautho	ed, from the law firm of Blank Rome on sheet. If you are not the intended I this telecopied information is strictly by us by telephone immediately at the
COO The confinumt confi	NFIDENTIALITY NOTE: documents accompanying this telecopy tracking the information tent, you are hereby notified that any discluded, and that the documents should be ter listed above, collect, so that we may are	ansmission contain inf is intended only for the osure, copying, distrib returned to this firm in range for the return of	formation which may be confident the use of the individual or entity ution or the taking of any action in mediately. If you have receive the original documents to us at not a may result in criminal and/or civents.	on named on this transmission reliance on the contents of this in error, please notiful cost to you. The unautho	ed, from the law firm of Blank Rome on sheet. If you are not the intended I this telecopied information is strictly by us by telephone immediately at the

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Serial No.: Filing Date:

J. Tamez-Pena et al. 09/540,524

March 31, 2000

MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING WITH

RESOLUTION AND CONTRAST

Please stamp to acknowledge receipt of the following:

Response to Restriction Requirement

Due Date

Atty Dkt. No.: 000687.00138

Blank Rome Comisky & McCauley LLP

March 12, 2002